

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
 SANDRA GALITZER, as mother and next friend)
 of GWYNN GALITZER,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 -against-)
)
 THE CITY OF NEW YORK; POLICE)
 LIEUTENANT MAHER; POLICE OFFICER)
 KERI MITCHELL, Shield No. 14657; POLICE)
 OFFICER DONALD NELZI, Shield No. 30324;)
 JOHN DOES; RICHARD ROES; HUDSON)
 RIVER PARK TRUST,)
)
 Defendants.)
 -----X

COMPLAINT**05 Civ. 7669****ECF CASE****JURY TRIAL DEMANDED****PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. This is a civil rights action in which SANDRA GALITZER seeks relief on behalf of her daughter GWYNN GALITZER for the defendants' violation of GWYNN GALITZER's rights secured by the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. Section 1983, by the United States Constitution, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments, and by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York. The plaintiff seeks damages, both compensatory and punitive, affirmative and equitable relief, an award of costs and attorneys' fees, and such other and further relief as this court deems equitable and just.

JURISDICTION

2. This action is brought pursuant to the Constitution of the United States, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments, and pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983.

Jurisdiction is conferred upon this court by 42 U.S.C. §1983 and 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1343(a)(3) and (4), this being an action seeking redress for the violation of GWYNN GALITZER's constitutional and civil rights.

3. The plaintiff further invokes this court's supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367, over any and all state law claims and as against all parties that are so related to claims in this action within the original jurisdiction of this court that they form part of the same case or controversy.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

4. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on each and every claim as pleaded herein.

VENUE

5. Venue is proper for the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 (a), (b) and (c).

NOTICE OF CLAIM

6. GWYNN GALITZER filed a Notice of Claim with the Comptroller of the City of New York on November 24, 2004, within 90 days of the incidents complained of herein. More than 30 days have elapsed since the filing of these Notices of Claim, and adjustment or payment thereof has been neglected or refused.

PARTIES

7. Plaintiff SANDRA GALITZER is a citizen of the United States, and at all times relevant herein resided in the state of New York. GWYNN GALITZER, a minor, is the daughter of SANDRA GALITZER, and at the time of the events complained of herein was sixteen years of age. GWYNN GALITZER is presently seventeen years of age.

8. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK ("The City") is and was at all times relevant herein a municipal entity created and authorized under the laws of the State of New York. It is authorized by law to maintain a police department, which acts as its agent in the area of law enforcement and for which it is ultimately responsible. Defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK assumes the risks incidental to the maintenance of a police force and the employment of police officers as said risk attaches to the public consumers of the services provided by the New York City Police Department ("NYPD").

9. Defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI and JOHN DOES, are and were at all times relevant herein duly appointed and acting officers, servants, employees and agents of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and/or the New York City Police Department, a municipal agency of defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK. Said individual defendants are and were at all times relevant herein acting under color of state law in the course and scope of their duties and functions as officers, agents, servants, and employees of defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, were acting for, and on behalf of, and with the power and authority vested in them by THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, and were otherwise performing and engaging in conduct incidental to the performance of their lawful functions in the course of their duties. Defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI and JOHN DOES are sued individually and in their official capacity.

10. Defendants RICHARD ROES are and were at all times relevant herein duly appointed and acting supervisory officers, servants, employees and agents of THE CITY OF NEW YORK and/or the New York City Police Department, responsible for the training, retention, supervision, discipline and control of police officers under their command. Said

individual defendants are and were at all times relevant herein acting under color of state law in the course and scope of their duties and functions as supervisory officers, agents, servants, and employees of defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, were acting for, and on behalf of, and with the power and authority vested in them by THE CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, and were otherwise performing and engaging in conduct incidental to the performance of their lawful functions in the course of their duties. Defendants RICHARD ROES are sued individually and in their official capacity.

11. Defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST (hereinafter "HRPT") is and was at all times relevant herein a public benefit corporation, organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of New York. Defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST assumes the risks incidental to the maintenance and use of its structures and the employment of its employees as said risk attaches to the public consumers of the services provided by the HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST and those who enter and remain within its structures. Officials of HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST contracted with the CITY OF NEW YORK and/or otherwise assisted THE CITY OF NEW YORK with its use of Pier 57 during the period of the Republican National Convention (RNC). Said officials are and were at all times relevant herein acting under color of state law in the course and scope of their duties and functions as officials of defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, were acting for, and on behalf of, and with the power and authority vested in them by HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST and were otherwise performing and engaging in conduct incidental to the performance of their lawful functions in the course of their duties.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

12. On the late afternoon of August 31st, 2004 GWYNN GALITZER and her friend went to the Main Branch of the New York Public Library on 5th Avenue in Manhattan. They sat for a while at a table and chairs in the public plaza in front of the library, playing guitar and singing.

13. The plaza became more crowded with people and with police officers.

14. The police officers began to act aggressively and violently towards the individuals present there, and were pushing some of the people present there onto the ground and arresting them.

15. GWYNN GALITZER's friend became very anxious, and began to hyperventilate.

16. A police command officer of the rank of lieutenant or higher, on information and belief defendant MAHER, came over to the table where GWYNN GALITZER and her friend were sitting. Defendant MAHER was yelling and cursing, and telling GWYNN GALITZER and her friend, in sum and substance, to "get the fuck out of here right now."

17. GWYNN GALITZER told defendant MAHER, in sum and substance, that she and her friend were leaving that location, but that her friend needed a moment to catch her breath. Defendant MAHER continued to yell.

18. GWYNN GALITZER's friend got upset and told defendant MAHER, in sum and substance, that what the police were doing was disgusting.

19. Defendant MAHER then told police officers in the immediate vicinity to arrest GWYNN GALITZER's friend.

20. GWYNN GALITZER pleaded with the officers to please not arrest her friend. Defendant Maher then pushed GWYNN GALITZER to the ground and told police officers in the

immediate vicinity to arrest GWYNN GALITZER.

21. GWYNN GALITZER was handcuffed and placed into a vehicle by NYPD officers for transport to Pier 57.

22. GWYNN GALITZER was arrested during one of the many mass-arrests conducted by the NYPD during the period of the Republican National Convention (RNC), arrests made by the NYPD without probable cause or individualized suspicion of criminal activity.

23. Upon information and belief, an order or orders was/were given by higher-ranking NYPD JOHN DOE defendants present at or near the scene of GWYNN GALITZER's arrest for the lower-ranking NYPD officers to engage in the mass arrests there.

24. GWYNN GALITZER was taken to Pier 57, a former bus depot that was reconfigured for use as a detention facility during the period of the RNC by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.

25. Pier 57 is owned by defendant HRPT.

26. Prior to the RNC the CITY OF NEW YORK contracted with the HRPT for the use of Pier 57 as a detention facility.

27. On information and belief, all of the arrestees from this location, and the vast majority of the almost two thousand people arrested during the course of the period of the RNC, were confined for some period of time at Pier 57.

28. The conditions en route to and at Pier 57 were atrocious. The detainees at the Pier were kept in chain-link cages topped with barbed wire that lacked adequate seating. The floor of Pier 57 was caked with a dark grimy substance that spread to the clothing and bodies of

those detained therein. There were numerous signs warning of hazardous materials that were posted at multiple locations throughout the pier. On information and belief, the detainees were exposed during their time at Pier 57 to toxic, irritating and/or hazardous chemicals which the City and HRPT knew, or should have known, were present there. During these periods of confinement the detainees were unlawfully denied adequate food, water, sanitation, medical attention and/or access to counsel.

29. The detainees at Pier 57 were further subjected to unreasonable delay in being processed and moved through the system. The City insisted on fingerprinting all RNC detainees, the vast number of whom were arrested and charged based only on the alleged commission of violations that did not rise to the level of crimes under New York State law, and who therefore under New York State law did not have to be fingerprinted to be processed and released with a date to return for court. Despite the City's insistence on fingerprinting all RNC arrestees, the detention facility at Pier 57 was not equipped with any fingerprinting machines. On information and belief, once the City eventually fingerprinted these RNC arrestees their fingerprints were sent to State and Federal authorities and not destroyed, thus violating the privacy rights and interests of the arrestees and creating the potential for unlawful surveillance activity by law enforcement authorities and the unlawful chilling of the exercise of free speech and association. Additionally, unnecessary and dilatory additional processing steps were taken at Pier 57 that delayed the detainees' movement through the system, including overly exacting property itemization procedures and a screening of each arrestee's paperwork by the NYPD's Legal Division to determine and finalize what suggested charges should be forwarded to the District Attorney's office for each arrestee. Further unreasonable and unnecessary delays were also

suffered by RNC detainees upon their subsequent transfer to and detention at the Central Booking Facility at 100 Centre Street. All arrestees during the RNC were thereby held in custody of the CITY OF NEW YORK for periods of time longer than were reasonable or necessary.

30. After their detention at Pier 57, further callous and unreasonable treatment and conditions of confinement were endured by the detainees, including GWYNN GALITZER, during their transfer to and confinement at the Central Booking Facility at 100 Centre Street.

31. On information and belief, during the periods of their confinement the vast majority of RNC arrestees were subjected to unreasonably tight and painful wrist restraints/handcuffs.

32. During her time in custody GWYNN GALITZER was subjected to unreasonably tight and painful wrist restraints/handcuffs.

33. Plaintiff GWYNN GALITZER was released after approximately 45 hours in custody. She was charged with Obstruction of Governmental Administration in the Second Degree, Disorderly Conduct, and Parading Without a Permit. All charges against Plaintiff GWYNN GALITZER have been dismissed in their entirety by way of an Adjournment in Contemplation of Dismissal.

34. Defendant MITCHELL was the deponent on the complaint filed with the Criminal Court against plaintiff. Defendant MITCHELL on that document claims that she was informed by defendant NELZI that GWYNN GALITZER had committed the acts alleged therein.

35. Prior to, during, and after the RNC officials of the CITY OF NEW YORK

evinced through their statements and actions an attitude of contempt toward those who demonstrated during the RNC, and toward those thought to be assisting or otherwise related to them, including legal observers, anarchists, and the National Lawyers Guild. Officials of the CITY OF NEW YORK attempted to create a climate of fear relative to the activities of demonstrators.

36. The NYPD engaged in unreasonable mass arrests at multiple locations throughout New York City during the period of the RNC, where everyone or almost everyone present at a particular location was taken into police custody if they were demonstrating or perceived by the NYPD to have been demonstrating. Lawful demonstrators, observers, or passers-by were swept up in these mass arrests and taken to Pier 57. These arrests were conducted unreasonably, without respect for the rights of free expression and association, and without probable cause or individualized suspicion of wrongdoing.

37. The NYPD enforced a facially unconstitutional, overbroad, and vague parading ordinance against those demonstrating or perceived to have been demonstrating, and applied the parading ordinance and other laws, including the statute prohibiting disorderly conduct, in a discriminatory and unequal fashion.

38. The CITY OF NEW YORK engaged in false arrests and used the conditions and length of pre-arrainment detention for a punitive purpose, and in order to interfere with, retaliate for, and chill the exercise of free speech and association. The CITY OF NEW YORK engaged in these false arrests and dilatory processing of the arrestees in part to prevent the arrestees from exercising their rights to free speech and expression.

FIRST CLAIM

**DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND 42 U.S.C. §1983**

39. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

40. By their conduct and actions in harassing, falsely arresting, abusing process against, assaulting and battering, violating and retaliating for the exercise of rights to free speech and assembly of, inflicting emotional distress upon, failing to intercede on behalf of, and fabricating an account concerning the arrest of GWYNN GALITZER, and by subjecting GWYNN GALITZER to improperly prolonged, harsh, and hazardous conditions of confinement without access to lawyers, defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI and JOHN DOES, acting under color of law and without lawful justification, intentionally, maliciously, and with a deliberate indifference to or a reckless disregard for the natural and probable consequences of their acts, caused injury and damage in violation of plaintiff's constitutional rights as guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the United States Constitution, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth amendments.

41. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

SECOND CLAIM

**SUPERVISORY LIABILITY FOR DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS UNDER THE
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION AND 42 U.S.C. §1983**

42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding

paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

43. By failing to remedy the wrongs committed by their subordinates, and in failing to properly train, screen, supervise, or discipline their subordinates, supervisory officers RICHARD ROES caused damage and injury in violation of GWYNN GALITZER's rights guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. §1983, and the United States Constitution, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth amendments.

44. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

THIRD CLAIM

LIABILITY OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK FOR CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

45. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

46. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants had de facto policies, practices, customs and usages which were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

47. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had de facto policies, practices, customs, and usages of failing to properly train, screen, supervise, or discipline employees and police officers, and of failing to inform the individual defendants' supervisors of their need to train, screen, supervise or discipline said defendants. These policies,

practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

48. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had de facto policies, practices, customs, and usages of encouraging and/or tacitly sanctioning the violation of and/or retaliation for individuals' exercise of free expression and assembly. The CITY OF NEW YORK during the period of the RNC had de facto policies, practices, customs, and usages of punishing and suppressing peaceful expression and association. The CITY OF NEW YORK during the period of the RNC had de facto policies, practices, customs, and usages of using the mechanisms and conditions of arrest and pre-arrainment detention for punitive and deterrent purposes. The CITY OF NEW YORK during the period of the RNC had de facto policies, practices, customs, and usages of maliciously prosecuting those arrested in connection with the RNC. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

49. At all times material to this complaint, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting through its police department, and through the individual defendants, had de facto policies, practices, customs, and usages of engaging in mass arrests without probable cause and/or individualized suspicion of wrongdoing, and of enforcing an unconstitutional, overbroad, and vague parading ordinance, and of discriminatorily and unequally applying the parading ordinance and other laws, including the statute prohibiting disorderly conduct. These policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

50. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

FOURTH CLAIM

LIABILITY OF HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST FOR CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

51. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

52. At all times material to this complaint, defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST had de facto policies, practices, customs and usages which were a direct and proximate cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein.

53. At all times material to this complaint, defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, had de facto policies, practices, customs, and usages of deliberate indifference to the health and well being of those who they knew or should have known would be held in Pier 57.

54. By their conduct and actions in contracting with the CITY OF NEW YORK and otherwise assisting the CITY OF NEW YORK concerning the use of Pier 57 during the period of the RNC, and thereby subjecting plaintiff to hazardous conditions of confinement, officials of the HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, acting under color of law and without lawful justification, and with a deliberate indifference to or a reckless disregard for the natural and probable consequences of their acts, caused injury and damage in violation of GWYNN GALITZER's constitutional rights as guaranteed under 42 U.S.C. §1983 and the United States Constitution, including its First, Fourth, Fifth, and Fourteenth amendment.

55. These acts, policies, practices, customs, and usages were a direct and proximate

cause of the unconstitutional conduct alleged herein .

56. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

FIFTH CLAIM

RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR LIABILITY OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST FOR STATE LAW VIOLATIONS

57. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

58. The conduct of defendants MAHER, MITCHELL, NELZI, DOES, and ROES alleged herein, occurred while they were on duty and in uniform, and/or in and during the course and scope of their duties and functions as New York City police officers, and/or while they were acting as agents and employees of defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK, and, as a result, defendant THE CITY OF NEW YORK is liable to plaintiff pursuant to the state common law doctrine of respondeat superior.

59. The conduct of employees and officials of the HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, alleged herein, in contracting with and otherwise assisting the CITY OF NEW YORK concerning the improper and dangerous use of Pier 57, occurred in and during the course and scope of their duties and functions as employees and officials of the HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST, and, as a result, defendant HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST is liable to plaintiff pursuant to the state common law doctrine of respondeat superior.

60. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury,

great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

SIXTH CLAIM

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

61. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

62. By the actions described above, defendants did inflict assault and battery upon GWYNN GALITZER. The acts and conduct of defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

63. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

SEVENTH CLAIM

FALSE ARREST and FALSE IMPRISONMENT

64. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

65. By the actions described above, defendants caused to be falsely arrested or falsely arrested GWYNN GALITZER, without reasonable or probable cause, illegally and without a warrant, and without any right or authority to do so. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

66. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

EIGHTH CLAIM

INTENTIONAL and NEGLIGENT INFILCTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

67. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

68. By the actions described above, defendants engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct, which intentionally and/or negligently caused severe emotional distress to GWYNN GALITZER. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to plaintiff and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

69. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

NINTH CLAIM

ABUSE OF PROCESS

70. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

71. By the conduct and actions described above, defendants employed regularly issued process against GWYNN GALITZER compelling the performance or forbearance of prescribed acts. The purpose of activating the process was intent to harm GWYNN GALITZER

without economic or social excuse or justification, and the defendants were seeking a collateral advantage or corresponding detriment to GWYNN GALITZER which was outside the legitimate ends of the process. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

72. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

TENTH CLAIM

VIOLATION OF AND RETALIATION FOR THE EXERCISE OF RIGHTS TO FREE SPEECH AND ASSEMBLY

73. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

74. By the actions described above, defendants violated the free speech and assembly rights of plaintiff and retaliated against GWYNN GALITZER for the exercise of her rights to free speech and assembly. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

75. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

ELEVENTH CLAIM

VIOLATION OF RIGHT TO EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW

76. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

77. By the actions described above, defendants violated GWYNN GALITZER's right to equal protection of law. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

78. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

TWELFTH CLAIM

NEGLIGENCE

79. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

80. The defendants, jointly and severally, negligently caused injuries, emotional distress and damage to GWYNN GALITZER. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

81. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

THIRTEENTH CLAIM

NEGLIGENT HIRING, SCREENING, RETENTION, SUPERVISION AND TRAINING

82. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

83. Defendants THE CITY OF NEW YORK and HUDSON RIVER PARK TRUST negligently hired, screened, retained, supervised and trained the employees and officials who injured plaintiff. The acts and conduct of these employees and officials were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

84. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

FOURTEENTH CLAIM

FUTURE MEDICAL EXPENSES

85. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

86. During her detention at Pier 57, GWYNN GALITZER was exposed to hazardous materials. As a result of this exposure, plaintiff reasonably anticipates consequential damages in the form of future expenses for medical monitoring and testing.

87. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

FIFTEENTH CLAIM

PRIMA FACIE TORT

88. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

89. Defendants, without excuse or justification, and intending to inflict harm upon plaintiff by an act or series of acts that would otherwise be lawful, did inflict harm upon GWYNN GALITZER, to wit, the confinement and processing of GWYNN GALITZER through the stages of pre-arrainment detention in a hazardous and dilatory fashion. The acts and conduct of the defendants were the direct and proximate cause of injury and damage to GWYNN GALITZER and violated her statutory and common law rights as guaranteed by the laws and Constitution of the State of New York.

90. As a result of the foregoing, GWYNN GALITZER was deprived of her liberty and property, suffered bodily injury, pain and suffering, psychological and emotional injury, great humiliation, costs and expenses, and was otherwise damaged and injured.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands the following relief jointly and severally against all of the defendants:

- a. Compensatory damages;
- b. Punitive damages;
- c. The convening and empanelling of a jury to consider the merits of the claims herein;
- d. Costs and interest and attorney's fees;
- e. Such other and further relief as this court may deem appropriate and equitable.

Dated: New York, New York
August 31, 2005

/s/
JEFFREY A. ROTHMAN, Esq.
(JR-0398)
575 Madison Avenue, Suite 1006
New York, New York 10022
(212) 348-9833; (212) 937-8450
Attorney for Plaintiff Sandra Galitzer, as
Mother and Next Friend of Gwynn Galitzer